

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/542,166	Applicant(s) LANG, ROBERT	
	Examiner Robert B. Davis	Art Unit 1791	

All Participants:

(1) Robert B. Davis.

(2) Raymond R. Mandra.

Date of Interview: 30 July 2009

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:59

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

7, 8, 10 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Robert B. Davis/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Mandra to clarify the claim grouping made by examiner Smith on May 19, 2009. The examiner stated that the claims should be grouped as method and apparatus Group I, claims 1-8, 12 and 13; and group II product claims 10 and 11. Mr. Mandra agreed to the grouping. The examiner suggested canceling claims 7 and 8 as the claims do not further limit the structure of claim 1. Mr. Mandra agreed to the cancelation of claim 7 and suggested amending claim 8. The suggestion was to make claim 8 a method claim dependent upon claim 12 and replacing "plurality of liquid food" with "one or more". It was agreed that the changes would be made by examiner's amendment. It was also agreed that non-elected claims 10 and 11 can be canceled.